

DEPARTMENT of NURSING EDUCATION & HEALTH STUDIES

COURSE OUTLINE - Winter 2023

NS3110 (A3/B3): Evidence Informed Nursing Practice 3 (3-0-0) 45 Hours/15 Weeks

Northwestern Polytechnic acknowledges that our campuses are located on Treaty 8 territory, the ancestral and present-day home to many diverse First Nations, Metis, and Inuit people. We are grateful to work, live and learn on the traditional territory of Duncan's First Nation, Horse Lake First Nation and Sturgeon Lake Cree Nation, who are the original caretakers of this land.

We acknowledge the history of this land and we are thankful for the opportunity to walk together in friendship, where we will encourage and promote positive change for present and future generations.

INSTRUCTOR: Alison Forest, MN RN PHONE: (780) 539-2784

OFFICE: HEC 343 E-MAIL: AForest@nwpolytech.ca

OFFICE HOURS: Please Email for Appointment

CALENDAR DESCRIPTION:

The course provides a hands-on approach to evidence-informed nursing practice. This includes formulating clinical questions, systematic searching of electronic databases, reading, interpreting and critically appraising health research. Emphasis is on developing thinking and information literacy skills necessary to be an astute research consumer and using evidence to inform clinical decision making.

Note: Available only to nursing students in the Collaborative Nursing Program.

PREREQUISITE(S)/COREQUISITE: NS 2115 or Statistics

REQUIRED TEXT/RESOURCE MATERIALS:

Woo, K. (2019). *Polit and Beck: Canadian essentials of nursing research* (4th ed.). Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

American Psychological Association. (2019). *Publication manual of the American psychological association* (7th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESOURCES: Refer also to NS3110 course on myClass for additional materials

Canadian Nurses Association. (2017). *Code of ethics for registered nurses*.

<u>Code of Ethics for Registered Nurses (hl-prod-ca-oc-download.s3-ca-central-1.amazonaws.com)</u>

DELIVERY MODE(S): On Campus Lecture

COURSE OBJECTIVES: See Learning Outcomes

LEARNING OUTCOMES:

- 1. Describe the nature and sources of knowledge that inform nursing practice.
- 2. Demonstrate understanding of research ethics and the nurse's role in relation to research ethics.
- 3. Demonstrate information literacy skills (for example, formulation of searchable clinical questions and conducting searches of relevant databases).
- 4. Demonstrate understanding of quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-method research design.
- 5. Critically appraise primary research and research syntheses.
- 6. Explain how evidence is used to inform clinical decision-making.

TRANSFERABILITY:

Please consult the Alberta Transfer Guide for more information. You may check to ensure the transferability of this course at the Alberta Transfer Guide main page http://www.transferalberta.ca.

** Grade of D or D+ may not be acceptable for transfer to other post-secondary institutions. **Students** are cautioned that it is their responsibility to contact the receiving institutions to ensure transferability

EVALUATIONS:

Knowledge Checks/Quizzes	15%
In Class Activities	20%
Group Project	35%
Final Exam	30%
Total	100%

Assignments/Course Components	Due dates	Weight	Course objectives no.
Knowledge Checks/Quizzes (during class time)	January 23, 2022 February 6, 2022 March 20, 2022	5% 5% 5%	1-6

2) In Class Activities	January 30 (prep submission) & February 13, 2022		1-6
	February 27, 2022	5%	
	March 27, 2022	5%	
3) a. Group Project Submission	March 24 @ 2359	30 %	1-6
b. PICO Question	January 20 @ 2359		3
c. Group contract	January 20 @ 2359		
d. Presentations	April 3 (during class time)	5% for presentation	1,6
4) Final Examination	Final Exam Week TBA	30%	1-6

3. Group Project: Evidence Informed Research Assignment (30% Group Mark)

The purpose of this assignment is to *Identify* a Research practice question, *Conduct* a Literature Review, *Integrate* the current evidence into a strategy, and *Articulate* the impact these findings have on clinical practice.

You will work in a group of 3-4 students. One grade will be assigned for the group.

You will choose an area of nursing practice to research (eg. Mental Health, Maternal/Child, Surgery, Medicine). Instructor will ensure no two research questions are the same.

You will submit a presentation using Powerpoint format to your instructor by the due date. **The Table** of your articles can be submitted separately or within the Powerpoint if you choose.

Assignment Guidelines

- 1) Identification and Development of a Clinical Practice Question
 - * Students must submit their clinical practice question by email for feedback and approval <u>prior to the start of their assignment and no later</u> than <u>Jan 20 @ 2359.</u> Please include in PICO format, and cc all the group members on the email. The "Group Contract" is also due at this time.

Your instructor will let you know if that question is approved or needs revisions.

- 2) <u>Literature Review</u>: Conduct a Literature Review of <u>8-10 articles</u> which will be used to answer your Clinical Practice Question. Studies must be **Peer Reviewed**, **Current** (no older than 5 years- unless approved by instructor), and directly **related** to your Clinical Practice Question.
 - * Please include search engines used, as well as keywords used to obtain results*
- 3) <u>Knowledge Synthesis</u>: Here you will synthesize the results from your Literature Review. Discover what each study from the Literature Review states in relation to your Clinical Practice Question. You will make a Table summarizing this data in columns with headings.

Headings to be included in your table:

- a) Reference Source using correct APA format
- b) The type of study (quantitative or qualitative)
- c) Research problem/purpose of study

- d) Sample (includes sample frame, sample size, inclusion/exclusion criteria (gender, age)
- e) Ethics (review/consent)
- f) Conceptual/theoretical framework
- g) Data collection
- h) Analysis
- i) Strengths and/or limitations,
- j) Findings (general conclusions in point form overview)
- k) Does it support or refute the clinical practice question?
- 1) Nursing Implications/windows of opportunity/gaps in research

4) Integration of Evidence into Clinical Practice: Here you will articulate an understanding of what Evidence Informed Research is and how it impacts nursing care. You will integrate the Knowledge Synthesis into an Evidence Informed Nursing Care Strategy based on your Clinical Practice Question.

- How does the current evidence I have discovered guide/inform my nursing practice?
- What evidence informed nursing care strategies would I use to integrate the evidence into client care and nursing practice?
- 5) Sharing the Findings: Presentation to Classmates (5% individual mark)

Due Date: April 3 during class

Group members will provide a 10 min summary presentation to their classmates. Must be present in class to receive the mark. Do not need to submit presentation to instructor.

Discuss findings from your table, as well as the integration of Evidence into Nursing Practice questions:

- How does the current evidence we have discovered guide/inform nursing practice?
- What evidence informed nursing care strategies could be used to integrate the evidence into client care and nursing practice

GRADING CRITERIA: (The following criteria may be changed to suite the particular course/instructor)

Please note that most universities will not accept your course for transfer credit **IF** your grade is **less than C**-.

Alpha Grade	4-point	Percentage	Alpha	4-point	Percentage
	Equivalent	Guidelines	Grade	Equivalent	Guidelines
A+	4.0	90-100	C+	2.3	67-69
A	4.0	85-89	С	2.0	63-66
A-	3.7	80-84	C-	1.7	60-62
B+	3.3	77-79	D+	1.3	55-59
В	3.0	73-76	D	1.0	50-54
B-	2.7	70-72	F	0.0	00-49

COURSE SCHEDULE/TENTATIVE TIMELINE:

Week	Торіс	Weekly Preparation
Week 1 January 2-6 th	No Classes	
Week 2 January 9 th	 Orientation & Introduction to Nursing Research in an EBP Environment Key Concepts and Steps in Quantitative & Qualitative Research 	 Chapters 1, 2 & 3 Resources Posted on myClass
Week 3 January 16 th	 Reading and Critiquing Research Articles Library Seminar 	 Chapter 4 Library Worksheet to complete prior to Seminar Resources Posted on myClass
Week 4 January 23 rd	 Ethics in Research Research Problems, Questions & Hypothesis Finding and Reviewing Research Evidence in Literature Knowledge Check/Quiz 	 Chapters 5, 6, &7 Resources Posted on myClass
Week 5 January 30 th	 Qualitative Designs and Approaches Sampling and Data Collection in Qualitative Studies 	 Chapters 11 & 12 Resources Posted on myClass Coding pre-work to be submitted by February Iston myClass.
Week 6 February 6 th	 Theoretical and Conceptual Frameworks Analysis of Qualitative Data Trustworthiness and Integrity in Qualitative Research Knowledge Check/Quiz 	 Chapters 8, 16, & 17 Resources Posted on myClass
Week 7 February 13 th	Coding Assignment (In-Class Assignment) (10%)	• None
Week 8 February 20 th	NO SCHOOL-WINTER BREAK	
Week 9 February 27 th	 Annotated Bibliography Scavenger Hunt (In-Class Assignment) (5%) 	Resources posted on myClass
Week 10 March 6 th	 Quantitative Research Design Sampling and Data Collection in Quantitative Studies	Chapters 9 & 10Resources posted on myClass
Week 11 March 13 th	 Statistical Analysis of Quantitative Data Interpretation and Clinical Significance in Quantitative Research 	Chapters 14 & 15Resources posted on myClass

Week 12 March 20 th	 Mixed Methods Design Knowledge Check/Quiz 	Chapter 13Resources posted on myClass
Week 13 March 27 th	• Quantitative Research Critique (In-Class Assignment) (5%)	Read Critique Article posted prior to class
Week 14 April 3 rd	Group Presentations	• Logic Model in Class
Week 15 Apr 10 th	Review Class	• None
Final Exam	TBA NWP Exam Week	

NS 3110 Evidence Informed Research Assignment Rubric

	Excellent - A	Very Good - B	Good - C	Marginal - D	Fail - F
Clinical	Clinical practice question is	Clinical practice	Clinical practice	Clinical	Clinical
Practice	researchable, specific, clear,	question is clear,	question relates	practice	practice
Question	& realistic, Contains the four	lacks some	to topic- but lacks	question does	question very
	components:	specificity, not as	specificity, is	not directly	vague, or not
	patient/population/problem,	researchable but	unclear, and may	relate to topic	present.
	intervention, comparison	realistic.	not be realistic.	given.	Missing all of
	intervention (if applicable;	Missing one of the	May be difficult to	Difficult to	the four
	not always present), and	four required	research.	research.	required
	outcome.	components.	Missing two of	Unrealistic.	components.
			the four required	Missing three	
			components.	of the four	
				required	
				components.	
Literature	8 to 10 peer reviewed,	6 to 7 peer	5 studies used,	3 - 4 studies	0-2 studies
Review	credible, current studies used	reviewed credible	most are credible,	used.	used. Studies
	which are directly related to	current studies	current and peer	Credibility,	are not
	clinical practice question. List	used which are	reviewed, mostly	currency and	credible,
	of Search engines used as	directly related to	related to clinical	peer review	current, or
	well as keywords.	clinical practice	practice question.	questionable.	peer
		question. List of	List of search	Difficult to	reviewed.
		search engines	engines used, as	relate studies	Studies do not
		used, as well as	well as keywords	to clinical	relate to
		keywords		practice	clinical
				question. Did	practice
				not include	question. Did
				search engines	not include
				or keywords.	

Knowledge Synthesis	Comprehensive and concise inclusion of all applicable	Some inclusion of type of applicable	Brief inclusion of applicable	Missing inclusions of	search engines or keywords. Missing many components
	headings outlined. Comprehensive rationale provided for study credibility and usefulness to inform nursing practice	headings outlined. Some rationale provided for study credibility and usefulness to inform nursing practice	headings outlined. Brief rationale provided for study credibility and usefulness to inform nursing practice.	applicable headings outlined. Weak rationale provided for study credibility and usefulness to inform nursing practice.	of knowledge synthesis. No rationale provided for study credibility and usefulness to inform nursing practice.
Integration	Clearly and concisely	Articulates an	Briefly articulates	Inadequately	No
of Evidence into Nursing	articulates an understanding of what evidence informed	understanding of what evidence	an understanding of what evidence	articulates an understanding	articulation of
	research is, identifies a practice strategy, and how it impacts nursing care. - Comprehensive and compelling discussion of how the current evidence would guide/inform nursing practice. - Provides clear and realistic example of how the evidence would be integrated into client care and nursing	informed research is, identifies a practice strategy, and how it impacts nursing care. - Some discussion of how the current evidence would guide/inform	informed research is, identifies a practice strategy, and how it impacts nursing care. - Brief discussion of how the current evidence would guide/inform	of what evidence informed Research is, unable to identify a practice strategy and how it impacts nursing care. — Discussion of how	understanding of what evidence informed Research is, nor a practice strategy, and how it impacts nursing care. Does not discuss how the
	practice.	nursing practice. Provides a realistic example of how the evidence would be integrated into client care and nursing practice but lacks some clarity.	nursing practice. Provides a brief example of how the evidence would be integrated into client care and nursing practice, example may not be realistic.	the current evidence would guide/ inform nursing practice confusing Provides an unclear example of how the evidence would be	current evidence would guide or inform nursing practice. No example provided of how the evidence would be integrated into client care and

				integrated into client care and nursing	nursing practice.
Spelling,	Content is exceptionally well	Content is well	Content is well	practice. Content is	Organization
Grammar,	written. Grammar, spelling	written with	written, with	present, with	and scope of
APA	and APA format requires	minor grammar	some structure	most structure	ideas
	minimal to no revisions.	and spelling	and organization	and	inadequate.
		errors. APA	needing	organization	Grammar,
		format requires	improvement.	needing	spelling and
		minor revisions.	Grammar, spelling	improvement.	APA is poorly
			errors, and APA	Grammar and	done, or there
			format requires	spelling errors	is evidence of
			some revisions.	evident	plagiarism.
				throughout.	
				APA format is	
				inconsistent	
				and incorrect.	
PowerPoint	Slides extremely well done,	Slides very good.	Slides superficial.	Slides difficult	Did not
(sent to	creative, informative, and	Most slides easy	Some slides	to read,	incorporate
instructor)	easy to read/understand.	to read. Mostly	difficult to read.	confusing,	PowerPoint or
	Appropriate use of graphics.	appropriate use of	Mostly	difficult to	PREZI slides
		graphics.	inappropriate use	follow, and	into
			of graphics.	poorly	presentation.
				organized.	
				Inappropriate	
				use of	
				graphics.	
Presentation	Came to Class and presented	Came to Class and	Came to Class.	Came to class.	Did not come
(5%)	with group.	presented with	Spoke with some	Was not	to class
individual mark	Spoke exceptionally well,	group. Spoke well,	confusion.	prepared to	
IIIQIK	presentation free of jargon.	presentation	Presentation had	present.	
	Exceptional use of	mostly free of	some jargon.	Presentation	
	professional language. Spoke	jargon. Spoke in a	Occasionally hard	was jargon.	
	in a clear voice. Considerate	clear voice.	to understand.	Mostly hard to	
	of audience (eg: stopped to	Considerate of	Did not take the	understand.	
	ask questions, clarified that	audience.	time to do a	Did not take	
	presentation was		perception check	the time to do	
	understandable).		with the	a perception	
			audience.	check with the	
				audience.	

STUDENT RESPONSIBILITIES:

As a student at NWP, you have rights and responsibilities. It is your responsibility to be familiar with the information contained in this Course Outline and the BScN student handbook and to clarify any areas of concern with the instructor. It is also your responsibility to be familiar with NWP Policies.

Please take the time to familiarize yourself with the policies that might impact you while you are here:

- NWP Department of Nursing Education & Health Studies Handbook: https://www.nwpolytech.ca/doc.php?d=NURSHBKYR12
- NWP Policies: Northwestern Polytechnic administrative/academic policies
- NWP Students Rights & Responsibilities Policy: https://www.nwpolytech.ca/about/administration/policies/fetch.php?ID=69#:~:text=It%20is%20the%20student's%20responsibility,the%20property%20of%20the%20Polytechnic.

Academic Misconduct: Academic misconduct in all its forms is a serious offence.

Academic misconduct is the giving, taking, or presenting of information or material that unethically or dishonestly aids oneself or another on any work which, under normal circumstances, is to be considered in the determination of a grade or the compilation of academic requirements or the enhancement of that student's record or academic career. The two key areas of academic misconduct are plagiarism and cheating. Please read the definitions that follow.

<u>Plagiarism</u>: The use of close imitation of language, paintings, films, prototypes and ideas of another author and representation of them as one's own original work. The most common forms of plagiarism are: copying or paraphrasing another author's work without proper acknowledgement, using the ideas or lines of reasoning of another author's work without proper acknowledgement, submitting work to which someone else has made substantial improvements to the content, and submitting the same work for multiple courses without approval. Plagiarism can be judged to have occurred if the instructor has both the submitted material and original source that was copied, or if the student is unable to explain the terminology or ideas of a submission.

Late Assignments

To obtain credit in the course ALL assignments, examinations, and quizzes must be completed. Students are expected to make every effort to complete assignments on time. Assignment submissions are expected on the date determined by faculty. If extensions are necessary, they may be requested up to 48 hours prior to the assignment due date and should be submitted in writing to the faculty member involved. Not all extensions will be granted. In exceptional situations, extension requests within the 48-hour period may be considered. Late assignments will have 5% of total marks (or one letter grade) for the assignment deducted for each day/partial day (including weekend days) beyond the due time. For example, a paper marked at B+ would receive an adjusted grade of B if handed in one day late. After 5 days, a grade of 0 will be awarded to the assignment. If the late penalty places the grade below the necessary pass grade, students will be unsuccessful in the course. When submitting assignments

electronically, it is the student's responsibility to ensure the assignment has been received. Papers/assignments may not be rewritten for a higher grade. Concerns regarding grading are to be discussed with the faculty member involved.

STATEMENT ON PLAGIARISM AND CHEATING:

Cheating and plagiarism will not be tolerated and there will be penalties. For a more precise definition of plagiarism and its consequences, refer to the Student Conduct section of the College Calendar at https://www.nwpolytech.ca/programs/calendar/ or the College Policy on Student Misconduct: Plagiarism and Cheating at https://www.nwpolytech.ca/about/administration/policies/index.html

**Note: all Academic and Administrative policies are available on the same page.